## Scope and Prosody in the Japanese Contrastive Topic Construction

Christopher Davis

Workshop on Prosody, Syntax, and Information

09/18/2010

Christopher Davis Scope and Prosody in Japanese CT

- **→** → **→** 

## Outline



2 Tomioka's Solution

#### 3 Problems

- Indexing Mechanics
- Locality Restrictions
- Focal Marking with CT

### 4 Modified Analysis

- Nutshell
- Rooth-style Focus Alternatives
- LF Movement
- Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives
- Summary

### Contrastive Topic versus Bare Focus

Who passed the test?

- a. Ken-wa ukatta
  Ken-wA passed
  "(At least) Ken passed."
- **Ken**-ga ukatta Ken-NOM passed
   "(Only) Ken passed."
- Focus + Nominative case marking = Exhaustive Interpretation
- Focus + wa = "Weakly" Exaustive Interpretation

### Contrastive Topic versus Bare Focus

Who passed the test?

- a. Ken-wa ukatta
  Ken-WA passed
  "(At least) Ken passed."
- **Ken**-ga ukatta Ken-NOM passed
   "(Only) Ken passed."
- Focus + Nominative case marking = Exhaustive Interpretation
- Focus + wa = "Weakly" Exaustive Interpretation

### Contrastive Topic versus Bare Focus

Who passed the test?

- a. Ken-wa ukatta
  Ken-WA passed
  "(At least) Ken passed."
- **Ken**-ga ukatta Ken-NOM passed
   "(Only) Ken passed."
- Focus + Nominative case marking = Exhaustive Interpretation
- Focus + wa = "Weakly" Exaustive Interpretation

## Occurrence Across Clause Types

#### a. Interrogative

...zyaa **Erika**-wa doko-e itta no? well Erika-WA where-to went Q

### "... well then, where did Erika go?"

b. Imperative

eigo-wa chanto yatte-ok-e English-WA without.fail do-prepare-IMP

"At least, prepare yourself for **Eng**lish."

c. Exhortative

**Kyouto**-ni-wa iko-u Kyoto-to-WA go-HORT "At least, let's go **Kyo**to."

Image: A matrix

## Occurrence Across Clause Types

#### a. Interrogative

... zyaa **Erika**-wa doko-e itta no? well Erika-wa where-to went Q

"... well then, where did Erika go?"

#### b. Imperative

eigo-wa chanto yatte-ok-e English-WA without.fail do-prepare-IMP

"At least, prepare yourself for **Eng**lish."

c. **Exhortative** 

**Kyouto**-ni-wa iko-u Kyoto-to-WA go-HORT "At least, let's go **Kyo**to."

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □

## Occurrence Across Clause Types

#### a. Interrogative

... zyaa **Erika**-wa doko-e itta no? well Erika-wa where-to went Q

"... well then, where did Erika go?"

#### b. Imperative

eigo-wa chanto yatte-ok-e English-WA without.fail do-prepare-IMP

"At least, prepare yourself for **Eng**lish."

#### c. Exhortative

**Kyouto**-ni-wa iko-u Kyoto-to-WA go-HORT "At least, let's go **Kyo**to."

### Variety of Implicatures

Who passed?

Ken-wa ukatta Ken-wa passed

"(At least) Ken passed."

• *Ignorance*: Doesn't know whether other people passed.

- Secrecy: Is not at liberty to say whether other people passed.
- Coyness: Teases the hearer by withholding information.

• • • •

A 3 1

### Variety of Implicatures

Who passed?

Ken-wa ukatta Ken-wa passed

"(At least) Ken passed."

• Ignorance: Doesn't know whether other people passed.

• Secrecy: Is not at liberty to say whether other people passed.

• Coyness: Teases the hearer by withholding information.

• • • •

- ∢ ≣ ▶

### Variety of Implicatures

Who passed?

Ken-wa ukatta Ken-wa passed

"(At least) Ken passed."

- Ignorance: Doesn't know whether other people passed.
- Secrecy: Is not at liberty to say whether other people passed.
- Coyness: Teases the hearer by withholding information.

• • • •

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

### Variety of Implicatures

Who passed?

Ken-wa ukatta Ken-wa passed

"(At least) Ken passed."

- Ignorance: Doesn't know whether other people passed.
- Secrecy: Is not at liberty to say whether other people passed.
- Coyness: Teases the hearer by withholding information.

• • • •

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Variety of Implicatures

Who passed?

Ken-wa ukatta Ken-wa passed

"(At least) Ken passed."

- Ignorance: Doesn't know whether other people passed.
- Secrecy: Is not at liberty to say whether other people passed.
- Coyness: Teases the hearer by withholding information.
- . . .

# Tomioka's Proposal, in a Nutshell [Tomioka(2009)], [Tomioka(To appear)]

- Syntactic Representation of "Speech Act" Operators [Krifka(2001)]
- Focus on CT generates semantic alternatives.
  - Alternative values represented using designated variables. [Kratzer(1991)]
- CT alternatives are not closed off until the level of the Speech Act, giving rise to a set of alternative speech acts.

**□ > < = > <** 

# Tomioka's Proposal, in a Nutshell [Tomioka(2009)], [Tomioka(To appear)]

- Syntactic Representation of "Speech Act" Operators [Krifka(2001)]
- Focus on CT generates semantic alternatives.
  - Alternative values represented using designated variables. [Kratzer(1991)]
- CT alternatives are not closed off until the level of the Speech Act, giving rise to a set of alternative speech acts.

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

# Tomioka's Proposal, in a Nutshell [Tomioka(2009)], [Tomioka(To appear)]

- Syntactic Representation of "Speech Act" Operators [Krifka(2001)]
- Focus on CT generates semantic alternatives.
  - Alternative values represented using designated variables. [Kratzer(1991)]
- CT alternatives are not closed off until the level of the Speech Act, giving rise to a set of alternative speech acts.

### Tomioka's Model



▲ 同 ▶ → ● 三

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Getting the Right Scope

- The Scope Theory of Contrastive *wa* depends on the *wa*-marked constituent escaping exhaustification by the lower operator.
- Tomioka suggests that *wa*-marking serves to mark this high-scope property.
- But it is unclear (to me) how this can be achieved mechanically.
- What prevents other indexing possibilities?

- 4 同 🕨 - 4 目 🕨 - 4 目

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Getting the Right Scope

- The Scope Theory of Contrastive *wa* depends on the *wa*-marked constituent escaping exhaustification by the lower operator.
- Tomioka suggests that wa-marking serves to mark this high-scope property.
- But it is unclear (to me) how this can be achieved mechanically.
- What prevents other indexing possibilities?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Getting the Right Scope

- The Scope Theory of Contrastive *wa* depends on the *wa*-marked constituent escaping exhaustification by the lower operator.
- Tomioka suggests that wa-marking serves to mark this high-scope property.
- But it is unclear (to me) how this can be achieved mechanically.
- What prevents other indexing possibilities?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Getting the Right Scope

- The Scope Theory of Contrastive *wa* depends on the *wa*-marked constituent escaping exhaustification by the lower operator.
- Tomioka suggests that wa-marking serves to mark this high-scope property.
- But it is unclear (to me) how this can be achieved mechanically.
- What prevents other indexing possibilities?

Image: A image: A

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Locality Restrictions

The attachment site of *wa* obeys island constraints, in particular *adjunct islands* and *complex NP* islands. [Hara(2006)]

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Adjunct Islands

(1) a. \* itsumo [uchi-ni John-wa kita toki], inu-ga always house-to John-WA came when dog-NOM hoe-ru bark-NONPAST

"When at least **John** comes over, the dog always barks."

b. \* kinou [John-wa uchi-ni kuru mae], yesterday John-WA house-to come before daremo i-nanak-ta anyone be-NEG-PAST

"Before at least **John** came to our house, no one was home."

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Adjunct Islands

- (2) a. itsumo [uchi-ni John-ga kita toki] -wa always house-to John-NOM came when WA inu-ga hoe-ru dog-NOM bark-NONPAST
  "When at least John comes over, the dog always barks."
  - b. kinou **[John**-ga uchi-ni kuru mae] -wa yesterday John-NOM house-to come before WA daremo i-nanak-ta anyone be-NEG-PAST

"Before at least **John** came to our house, no one was home."

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Complex NP Islands

 (3) a. \* itsumo [Chomsky-wa kai-ta hon]-ga always Chomsky-WA write-PAST book-NOM shuppan sa-re-ru publish do-PASS-NONPAST
 "Books that at least Chomsky writes are always

"Books that at least **Chomsky** writes are always published."

b. itsumo [Chomsky-ga kai-ta hon] -wa always Chomsky-NOM write-PAST book -WA shuppan sa-re-ru publish do-PASS-NONPAST

"Books that at least **Chomsky** writes are always published."

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Locality Restrictions

With the in-situ analysis of CT *wa*, the island data are not explained, since other kinds of in-situ focused items are *not* island sensitive.

э

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Locus of Focus within the wa-marked Constituent

When *wa* attaches to a complex constituent, the interpretation depends on prosodic focus, as noted by [Komagata(1998)].

#### Example Context

Imaizumi and Furuhata just returned from Okinawa with some local alcohol, and the speaker was at a drinking party with them.



Imaizumi brought back awamori and snake-liquor.



Furuhata brought back a different kind of awamori and some local beer.

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Locus of Focus within the wa-marked Constituent

When *wa* attaches to a complex constituent, the interpretation depends on prosodic focus, as noted by [Komagata(1998)].

#### Example Context

Imaizumi and Furuhata just returned from Okinawa with some local alcohol, and the speaker was at a drinking party with them.



Imaizumi brought back awamori and snake-liquor.



Furuhata brought back a different kind of awamori and some local beer.

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

### Subject Focus + wa

#### How was the Awamori?

[**Imaizumi**-ga mottekita awamori] -wa oisikatta Imaizumi-NOM brought awamori WA tasty yo

"The awamori that **Imaizumi** brought was good." (Does not commit to the awamori that Furuhata brought)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Focus in the wa-marked Constituent

#### Subject Focus + wa



Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Object Focus + wa

#### How were the drinks Imaizumi brought?

[ Imaizumi-ga mottekita **awamori** ] -wa oisikatta Imaizumi-NOM brought awamori WA tasty yo yo "The **awamori** that Imaizumi brought was tasty."

(Does not commit to the snake-liquor that Imaizumi brought.)

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

### Object Focus + wa

#### How were the drinks Imaizumi brought?



Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

Multiple Focused Items in the Scope of wa

#### How were the drinks at the party?

[**Imaizumi**-ga mottekita **awamori**] -wa oisikatta Imaizumi-NOM brought awamori WA tasty yo yo

"The **awamori** that **Imaizumi** brought was tasty." (Does not commit to the snake-liquor that Imaizumi brought, or to the drinks that Furuhata brought.)

< A >

- ∢ ≣ ▶

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## Multiple Focused Items in the Scope of wa

#### How were the drinks at the party?



< 4 ₽ > < Ξ

-

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## CT Interpretation Depends on Prosody

- These examples show that the interpretation of CT *wa* depends on which element(s) in the scope of *wa* are focused.
- In Tomioka's account, the entire *wa*-marked consituent is indexed with a focus variable, so that we get alternatives for the entire *wa*-marked constituent.
- The nature of these alternatives must be made sensitive to the prosody of the *wa*-marked constituent in some way.
- Examples with multiple foci in the *wa*-marked constituent suggest that focus alternatives are built up in the standard way, and *wa* serves to send these alternatives up for contrastive interpretation (and not strong exhaustification).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## CT Interpretation Depends on Prosody

- These examples show that the interpretation of CT *wa* depends on which element(s) in the scope of *wa* are focused.
- In Tomioka's account, the entire *wa*-marked consituent is indexed with a focus variable, so that we get alternatives for the entire *wa*-marked constituent.
- The nature of these alternatives must be made sensitive to the prosody of the *wa*-marked constituent in some way.
- Examples with multiple foci in the *wa*-marked constituent suggest that focus alternatives are built up in the standard way, and *wa* serves to send these alternatives up for contrastive interpretation (and not strong exhaustification).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >
Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## CT Interpretation Depends on Prosody

- These examples show that the interpretation of CT *wa* depends on which element(s) in the scope of *wa* are focused.
- In Tomioka's account, the entire *wa*-marked consituent is indexed with a focus variable, so that we get alternatives for the entire *wa*-marked constituent.
- The nature of these alternatives must be made sensitive to the prosody of the *wa*-marked constituent in some way.
- Examples with multiple foci in the *wa*-marked constituent suggest that focus alternatives are built up in the standard way, and *wa* serves to send these alternatives up for contrastive interpretation (and not strong exhaustification).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

## CT Interpretation Depends on Prosody

- These examples show that the interpretation of CT *wa* depends on which element(s) in the scope of *wa* are focused.
- In Tomioka's account, the entire *wa*-marked consituent is indexed with a focus variable, so that we get alternatives for the entire *wa*-marked constituent.
- The nature of these alternatives must be made sensitive to the prosody of the *wa*-marked constituent in some way.
- Examples with multiple foci in the wa-marked constituent suggest that focus alternatives are built up in the standard way, and wa serves to send these alternatives up for contrastive interpretation (and not strong exhaustification).

Indexing Mechanics Locality Restrictions Focal Marking with CT

### CT Indexing Depends on Prosody



(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

- I argue for a modification to the mechanics of exhaustivity and contrastive topic calculation that preserves the basic structural insights of Tomioka's analysis, while giving us a way to account for the *locality restrictions* and *focus sensitivity* seen earlier.
- The account has two features:
  - Focus alternatives are handled by Rooth-style focus values.
  - The contrastive interpretation of *wa*-marked alternatives is due to LF movement.
- The combination of Hamblin alternatives and movement with variables is a bit tricky, but not impossible. ([Novel and Romero(to appear)], contra [Shan(2004)])

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

- I argue for a modification to the mechanics of exhaustivity and contrastive topic calculation that preserves the basic structural insights of Tomioka's analysis, while giving us a way to account for the *locality restrictions* and *focus sensitivity* seen earlier.
- The account has two features:
  - Focus alternatives are handled by Rooth-style focus values.
  - The contrastive interpretation of *wa*-marked alternatives is due to LF movement.
- The combination of Hamblin alternatives and movement with variables is a bit tricky, but not impossible. ([Novel and Romero(to appear)], contra [Shan(2004)])

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

- I argue for a modification to the mechanics of exhaustivity and contrastive topic calculation that preserves the basic structural insights of Tomioka's analysis, while giving us a way to account for the *locality restrictions* and *focus sensitivity* seen earlier.
- The account has two features:
  - Focus alternatives are handled by Rooth-style focus values.
  - The contrastive interpretation of *wa*-marked alternatives is due to LF movement.
- The combination of Hamblin alternatives and movement with variables is a bit tricky, but not impossible. ([Novel and Romero(to appear)], contra [Shan(2004)])

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・

- I argue for a modification to the mechanics of exhaustivity and contrastive topic calculation that preserves the basic structural insights of Tomioka's analysis, while giving us a way to account for the *locality restrictions* and *focus sensitivity* seen earlier.
- The account has two features:
  - Focus alternatives are handled by Rooth-style focus values.
  - The contrastive interpretation of *wa*-marked alternatives is due to LF movement.
- The combination of Hamblin alternatives and movement with variables is a bit tricky, but not impossible. ([Novel and Romero(to appear)], contra [Shan(2004)])

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ •

- I argue for a modification to the mechanics of exhaustivity and contrastive topic calculation that preserves the basic structural insights of Tomioka's analysis, while giving us a way to account for the *locality restrictions* and *focus sensitivity* seen earlier.
- The account has two features:
  - Focus alternatives are handled by Rooth-style focus values.
  - The contrastive interpretation of *wa*-marked alternatives is due to LF movement.
- The combination of Hamblin alternatives and movement with variables is a bit tricky, but not impossible. ([Novel and Romero(to appear)], contra [Shan(2004)])

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary



- Composition of focus semantic values proceeds by point-wise function application.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary



- Focus-semantic values are Hamblin alternatives returned by a focus-semantic interpretation function III<sup>f</sup>.
- Composition of focus semantic values proceeds by point-wise function application.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ x \, \big| \, x \in D_e \right\}$
- $\llbracket [awamori]_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ P \mid P \in D_{\langle e, st \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [[\text{Imaizumi}]_{F}$ -ga mottekita awamori $] \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ \iota x. \text{ awamori}'(x) \land \text{ brought}'(x)(y) \mid y \in D_{e} \right\}$
- $\llbracket$ Imaizumi-ga mottekita [awamori]<sub>F</sub>]<sup>f</sup> =  $\left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(\text{Imaizumi}') \mid P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [[\text{Imaizumi}]_{\mathbf{F}}$ -ga mottekita [awamori]\_{\mathbf{F}}] $^{f} = \left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(y) \mid P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle}, y \in D_{e} \right\}$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

- $\llbracket [\operatorname{Imaizumi}]_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ x \mid x \in D_{e} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [awamori]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ P \, \big| \, P \in D_{\langle e, st \rangle} \right\}$
- [[[Imaizumi]<sub>F</sub>-ga mottekita awamori]]]<sup>f</sup> =  $\left\{ \iota x. awamori'(x) \land brought'(x)(y) \mid y \in D_e \right\}$
- [Imaizumi-ga mottekita [awamori]<sub>F</sub>]<sup>f</sup> =  $\left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(\text{Imaizumi}') \mid P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [[\text{Imaizumi}]_{\mathbf{F}}$ -ga mottekita [awamori]\_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^{f} = \begin{cases} \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(y) \mid P \in D\_{\langle e,t \rangle}, y \in D\_{e} \end{cases}

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

→ □ → → □ →

- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ x \, \big| \, x \in D_e \right\}$
- $\llbracket [awamori]_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ P \mid P \in D_{\langle e, st \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}}$ -ga mottekita awamori $] \rrbracket^f = \left\{ \iota x. \, \mathsf{awamori}'(x) \land \, \mathsf{brought}'(x)(y) \, \big| \, y \in D_e \right\}$
- $\llbracket$ Imaizumi-ga mottekita [awamori]<sub>F</sub> $\rrbracket^f = \{\iota x. P(x) \land brought'(x)(Imaizumi') | P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle} \}$
- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}}$ -ga mottekita [awamori]\_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f =  $\left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \mathsf{brought}'(x)(y) \mid P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle}, y \in D_e \right\}$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- $\llbracket [\operatorname{Imaizumi}]_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ x \mid x \in D_{e} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [awamori]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ P \, \big| \, P \in D_{\langle e, st \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [[\text{Imaizumi}]_{F}$ -ga mottekita awamori $] \rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ \iota x. \text{ awamori}'(x) \land \text{ brought}'(x)(y) \mid y \in D_{e} \right\}$
- $\llbracket$ Imaizumi-ga mottekita [awamori]<sub>F</sub> $\rrbracket^{f} = \left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(\text{Imaizumi}') \mid P \in D_{\langle e, t \rangle} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}}$ -ga mottekita [awamori]\_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \begin{cases} \iota x. P(x) \land \text{brought}'(x)(y) \mid P \in D\_{\langle e,t \rangle}, y \in D\_e \end{cases}

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ x \, \big| \, x \in D_{\mathsf{e}} \right\}$
- $\llbracket [awamori]_{\mathsf{F}} \rrbracket^f = \left\{ \mathsf{P} \mid \mathsf{P} \in \mathsf{D}_{\langle e, st \rangle} \right\}$
- [[[Imaizumi]<sub>F</sub>-ga mottekita awamori]]]<sup>f</sup> =  $\left\{ \iota x. awamori'(x) \land brought'(x)(y) \mid y \in D_e \right\}$
- $\llbracket$ Imaizumi-ga mottekita [awamori]<sub>F</sub> $\rrbracket^f = \{\iota x. P(x) \land brought'(x)(Imaizumi') \mid P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle} \}$
- $\llbracket [\mathsf{Imaizumi}]_{\mathbf{F}}$ -ga mottekita [awamori]\_{\mathbf{F}} \rrbracket^f =  $\left\{ \iota x. P(x) \land \mathsf{brought}'(x)(y) \, \big| \, P \in D_{\langle e,t \rangle}, y \in D_e \right\}$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

## Scope of the wa-marked Constituent

- 1. A standard Hamblin alternative focus semantics allows us to build up focus-sensitive alternatives to a complex *wa*-marked constituent.
- 2. The next step is figuring out how to get these alternatives to associate with the CT operator, and not to associate with the lower EXH operator.
- LF movement is a (relatively) straightforward way to achieve this, which has the added advantage of explaining the island data.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Scope of the wa-marked Constituent

- 1. A standard Hamblin alternative focus semantics allows us to build up focus-sensitive alternatives to a complex *wa*-marked constituent.
- 2. The next step is figuring out how to get these alternatives to associate with the CT operator, and not to associate with the lower  $\rm Exh$  operator.
- LF movement is a (relatively) straightforward way to achieve this, which has the added advantage of explaining the island data.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ •

## Scope of the *wa*-marked Constituent

- 1. A standard Hamblin alternative focus semantics allows us to build up focus-sensitive alternatives to a complex *wa*-marked constituent.
- 2. The next step is figuring out how to get these alternatives to associate with the CT operator, and not to associate with the lower  $\rm Exh$  operator.
- 3. LF movement is a (relatively) straightforward way to achieve this, which has the added advantage of explaining the island data.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

### wa-marked Constituent Moves at LF



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

## Combining Movement with Focus Alternatives

Combining Hamblin Alternatives with movement (with variables) requires some finesse. [Novel and Romero(to appear)]

- Need to represent assignment-function variables explicitly in the denotations.
- Need appropriate definitions for Function Application and Lambda Abstraction.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

## **Function Application**

#### Function Application (Ordinary)

For any subtree  $\alpha$  with daughters  $\beta$  of type  $\langle a, \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \rangle$  and  $\alpha$  of type  $\langle a, \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are variables over types, and a is the type of assignment functions:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & &$$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

< ∃⇒

## Example of Ordinary FA

#### Lexical Denotations

 $\llbracket \mathsf{Ken} \rrbracket^o = \lambda g. \operatorname{Ken}' \\ \llbracket \mathsf{passed} \rrbracket^o = \lambda g \lambda x \lambda w. \operatorname{passed}'(x)(w)$ 

#### Ordinary FA

$$\begin{bmatrix} S \\ Ken passed \end{bmatrix}^{o} = \lambda g' . [passed]^{o}(g') ([Ken]^{o}(g'))$$
$$= \lambda g' . (\lambda g \lambda x \lambda w. passed'(x)(w)) (g') (\lambda g. Ken'(g'))$$
$$= \lambda g' \lambda w. passed'(Ken)(w)$$

 Basic Data
 Nutshell

 Tomioka's Solution
 Rooth-style Focus Alternatives

 Problems
 LF Movement

 Modified Analysis
 Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives

### Focus Features

Focus Feature **F** generates non-trivial focus-semantic alternatives. [Rooth(1985)]



#### Focus Value

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \land \\ \mathbf{F} & \beta_{\tau} \end{bmatrix}^{f} = \left\{ \lambda g. X(g) \middle| X \in D_{\tau} \right\}$$

< (T) ►

.= >

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

æ

### Example with Bare Focus



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

### Semantics of the Focused DP



#### Focus Value

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{DP} \\ \bigwedge \\ \mathsf{F} & \mathsf{Ken} \end{bmatrix}^f = \left\{ \lambda g. X(g) \middle| X \in D_{\langle a, e \rangle} \right\}$$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

э

### Ordinary Value of Sentence



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

### FA for Focus Values

#### **Function Application for Alternatives** [Novel and Romero(to appear)]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{\langle \mathbf{a}, \tau \rangle} \\ \beta_{\langle \mathbf{a}, \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \rangle} & \gamma_{\langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma \rangle} \end{bmatrix}^{f} = \left\{ \lambda g. f(g)(x(g)) \middle| f \in \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{f} \land x \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket^{f} \right\}$$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

### Sentence-Level Focus Value



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< 同 ▶

- ∢ ≣ ▶

## Exhaustification

#### At this point, we apply the focus-sensitive $\ensuremath{\mathrm{Ex}}\xspace{Haustivity}$ operator.

| EXH, Modified from [Fox(2006)]   |         |
|--|---------|
| $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{\langle a,st\rangle} \\ \vdots \\ EXH & \beta_{\langle a,st\rangle} \end{bmatrix}^{\circ} = \frac{\lambda g \lambda w. \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{\circ}(w)(g) \wedge}{\forall q \in NW(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{\circ}, \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^{f}, g) : \neg q}$ | q(w)(g) |

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{NW}(p_{\langle a,st\rangle},A_{\langle \langle a,st\rangle,t\rangle}),g_a)=\{q_{\langle a,st\rangle}\in A:\\ &p(g) \text{ does not entail }q(g)\} \end{split}$$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

Escaping Exhaustification by Movement

#### wa-marking Triggers LF Movement



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Traces have Trivial Focus Values

#### Denotation of Traces

$$\llbracket t_i \rrbracket^o = \lambda g. g(i)$$

 $\llbracket t_i \rrbracket^f = \{\lambda g. g(i)\}$ 

- Traces will in general be of the same type as the LF-moved consituent.
- This makes LF movement scopally inert wrt quantification, which Tomioka notes is necessary.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< 同 ▶

A B + A B +

Lambda Abstraction with Focus Alternatives



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

(日) (同) (三) (三)

## Lambda Abstraction with Focus Alternatives

Lambda Abstraction for Alternatives [Poesio(1996)]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \ddots \\ \lambda_i & \beta_{\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \tau \rangle} \end{bmatrix}^f = \left\{ \lambda g \lambda x. f(g^{[x/i]}) \middle| f \in \llbracket \beta \rrbracket^f \right\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} S' \\ \lambda_i & S \end{bmatrix}^o = \lambda g \lambda x. \operatorname{DECL}(\lambda w. \operatorname{passed}'(g^{[x/1]}(1))(w))$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} S' \\ \lambda_i & S \end{bmatrix}^f = \left\{ \lambda g \lambda x. \operatorname{DECL}(\lambda w. \operatorname{passed}'(g^{[x/1]}(1))(w)) \right\}$$

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

## Combining the *wa*-marked Phrase

We now combine the focused *wa*-marked phrase, giving us a set of alternative "assertions".



Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Alternative "Speech Acts" and Contrast

- At this point, we have replicated the results of Tomioka's system, giving us a root denotation with alternative "speech acts" as the meaning of a CT *wa* sentence.
- In my dissertation, I provide detailed semantic analyses of the Force heads involved, as well as the CT operator that is responsible for the contrast in the contrastive wa construction.
- I will not discuss these today.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Alternative "Speech Acts" and Contrast

- At this point, we have replicated the results of Tomioka's system, giving us a root denotation with alternative "speech acts" as the meaning of a CT *wa* sentence.
- In my dissertation, I provide detailed semantic analyses of the Force heads involved, as well as the CT operator that is responsible for the contrast in the contrastive *wa* construction.
- I will not discuss these today.
Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Alternative "Speech Acts" and Contrast

- At this point, we have replicated the results of Tomioka's system, giving us a root denotation with alternative "speech acts" as the meaning of a CT *wa* sentence.
- In my dissertation, I provide detailed semantic analyses of the Force heads involved, as well as the CT operator that is responsible for the contrast in the contrastive *wa* construction.
- I will not discuss these today.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Complex Constituents with wa

- The system sketched here extends without any further complications to the case of complex constituents marked by *wa*.
- The focus semantic value of the *wa*-marked constituent is calculated like all other focus-semantic values.
- The calculation is focus-sensitive, and multiple foci are integrated via pointwise function application.
- The CT interpretation of these focused items is completely a function of their *syntactic* scope, resulting from LF movement.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

## Some Remaining Issues

- Examples with both CT and exhaustive focus require some finesse. Basically, we need EXH to operate on the focus alternatives in its scope, while also passing them up for further computation.
- What is the mechanism for LF movement of the *wa*-marked phrase?
  - I suggest that it is related to a *topic* feature introduced by *wa*-itself, which is checked with the topic feature of a higher operator.
  - A natural candidate for this higher operator is the CT operator itself.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

## Some Remaining Issues

- Examples with both CT and exhaustive focus require some finesse. Basically, we need EXH to operate on the focus alternatives in its scope, while also passing them up for further computation.
- What is the mechanism for LF movement of the *wa*-marked phrase?
  - I suggest that it is related to a *topic* feature introduced by *wa*-itself, which is checked with the topic feature of a higher operator.
  - A natural candidate for this higher operator is the CT operator itself.

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

æ



Basic Data Tomioka's Solution LF Movement Problems Modified Analysis Summarv

Fox, Danny. 2006. Free choice and a theory of scalar implicature. Ms., MIT.

Hara, Yurie. 2006. 

> Grammar of knowledge representation: Japanese discourse items at interfaces.

Doctoral Dissertation, University of Delaware.

Komagata, Nobo. 1998.

Contrastive function of Japanese particle wa. Technical report, University of Pennsylvania Institute for Research in Cognitive Science.

Kratzer, Angelika. 1991. Representation of focus, 825–834. Handbook of Semantics. Berlin: De Gruyter.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Basic Data Tomioka's Solution Modified Analysis Summary

- Krifka, Manfred. 2001. Quantifying into question acts. Natural Language Semantics 9:1–40.
- Novel, Marc, and Maribel Romero. to appear. Movement, variables, and Hamblin semantics. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 14*.
- Poesio, Massimo. 1996.

Semantic ambiguity and perceived ambiguity, 159–201. Semantic Ambiguity and Underspecification. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.

- Rooth, Mats. 1985.
  - Association with focus.

Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Shan, Chung-chieh. 2004.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 >

Nutshell Rooth-style Focus Alternatives LF Movement Combining Movement with Hamblin Alternatives Summary

Binding alongside Hamblin alternatives calls for variable-free semantics.

In *Proceedings from Semantics and Linguistic Theory* 14, ed. Kazuha Watanabe and Robert B. Young, 289–304. Cornell University Press.

Tomioka, Satoshi. 2009.

A scope theory of contrastive topics.

Manuscript. A longer version of a paper in Current Issues in Unity and Diversity of Languages: Collection of the Papers Selected from the CIL 18 2282-2296.

Tomioka, Satoshi. To appear.

Contrastive topics operate on speech acts.

In *Information structure from different perspectives*, ed. Caroline Fery and Malte Zimmermann. Oxford: Oxford University Press.